Ocean & Coastal Management 126 (2016) 31–41

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ocean & Coastal Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ocecoaman

Water quality criteria for an acidifying ocean: Challenges and opportunities for improvement

Stephen B. Weisberg [a, *], Nina Bednaršek [b], Richard A. Feely [c], Francis Chan [d], Alexandria B. Boehm [e], Martha Sutula [a], Jennifer L. Ruesink [f], Burke Hales [g], John L. Largier [h], Jan A. Newton [i]

[a] Southern California Coastal Water Research Project Authority, 3535 Harbor Blvd., Costa Mesa, CA 92626, USA
[b] University of Washington, School of Marine and Environmental Affairs, 3707 Brooklyn Avenue NE, Seattle, WA 98105, USA
[c] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115, USA
[d] Oregon State University, Department of Integrative Biology, 3029 Cordley Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA
[e] Stanford University, Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
[f] Department of Biology, University of Washington, Box 35100, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
[g] Oregon State University, College of Earth, Ocean, and Atmosphere Sciences, 104 CEOAS Administration Building, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA
[h] University of California Davis, Bodega Marine Laboratory, PO Box 247, Bodega Bay, CA 94923, USA
[i] University of Washington, Applied Physics Laboratory, Washington Ocean Acidification Center, Seattle, WA 98105, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 25 October 2015
Received in revised form
14 March 2016
Accepted 21 March 2016
Available online 13 April 2016

Keywords:
Water quality criteria
Acidification
303(d)
Pteropods

ABSTRACT

Acidification has sparked discussion about whether regulatory agencies should place coastal waters on the Clean Water Act 303(d) impaired water bodies list. Here we describe scientific challenges in assessing impairment with existing data, exploring use of both pH and biological criteria. Application of pH criteria is challenging because present coastal pH levels fall within the allowable criteria range, but the existing criteria allow for pH levels that are known to cause extensive biological damage. Moreover, some states express their water quality criteria as change from natural conditions, but the spatio-temporal distribution and quality of existing coastal pH data are insufficient to define natural condition. Biological criteria require that waters be of sufficient quality to support resident biological communities and are relevant because a number of biological communities have declined over the last several decades. However, the scientific challenge is differentiating those declines from natural population cycles and positively associating them with acidification-related water quality stress. We present two case studies, one for pteropods and one for oysters, which illustrate the opportunities, challenges and uncertainties associated with implementing biological criteria. The biggest challenge associated with these biological assessments is lack of co-location between long-term biological and chemical monitoring, which inhibits the ability to connect biological response with an acidification stressor. Developing new, ecologically relevant water quality criteria for acidification and augmenting coastal water monitoring at spatio-temporal scales appropriate to those criteria would enhance opportunities for effective use of water quality regulations.

1. Introduction

Copyright / Ex. 4

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: stevew@sccwrp.org (S.B. Weisberg).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.03.010
0964-5691/